

Weekly Diabetes Update

April 18, 2008



Say “thank you” to those who support you.

(Don't forget that April 23rd is Administrative Professionals Day.)



1. How to Support A Loved One with Diabetes

The National Diabetes Education Program (NDEP) has publications on helping a loved one with diabetes. Learn how Alfred supports his wife Linda who has diabetes in the feature article at ndep.nih.gov/diabetes/pubs/SocialSupport_Feature.pdf. For more tips on supporting a loved one with diabetes, see ndep.nih.gov/diabetes/pubs/dealing-how-to-support-loved-one.pdf.

2. Kids Support Other Kids with Diabetes

The Juvenile Diabetes Research Foundation's (JDRF) website offers children with type 1 diabetes the opportunity to become a diabetes pen pal and help out other children who are still coming to grips with their diagnosis. Check out the Diabetes Pen Pal page from JDRF at <http://kids.jdrf.org/index.cfm?fuseaction=penpals.home>. Also see the Planet D webpage from the American Diabetes Association at <http://tracker.diabetes.org/index.php>, where kids can create profiles and post messages for other kids to read.

3. Funding Opportunities

- **Genomic Applications in Practice and Prevention (GAPP): Translation Programs in Education, Surveillance, and Policy.** The purpose of the program is to move human genome applications, including family history applications, into health practice in a manner that maximizes health benefits and minimizes harm to individuals and populations. The FOA will support programs in *policy*, *surveillance*, or *education* related to genomic tests, family history, and other genomic interventions with the goal of *improving health and preventing disease* in large, well-defined populations or practice settings in the United States. A letter of intent is due **May 7, 2008**. The full application is due **June 6th**. See the announcement from the Grants.gov website at http://www07.grants.gov/search/search.do;jsessionid=LDvL24T6qhqv6m5LyqZvMNQs_sB6ynJ0jx46zmg3Kn9dkvRvV8Lw!-1514370815?oppId=17408&flag2006=true&mode=VIEW.

- The National Eye Institute is providing funding for awards in recognition of the importance of strengthening community-based organizations in developing innovative eye health education and promotion projects. Each award is intended to stimulate collaborative initiatives that support the vision objectives in Healthy People 2010. The topics to be addressed are examinations and prevention of eye diseases, injury and safety, and vision rehabilitation. This program is not available for research funding or direct medical care. Applications will be available June 30, and are due **August 29**. Awardees will be notified in January 2009. Non-profit organizations including, but not limited to, community-based organizations and groups, minority-based organizations, schools, faith-based organizations, civic and fraternal groups, and local health departments and agencies may apply. Universities and university-affiliations, such as medical centers, are precluded from receiving an award directly, but are welcome to collaborate with community-based organizations. To learn more about how to apply, visit <http://www.healthyvision2010.org/news/hvca/default.asp>. If your agency does apply, please notify the Blindness Education, Screening and Treatment Program by e-mail to virginia.beatty@dhss.mo.gov.

4. Educational Opportunities

- Nursing Outreach and Distance Education at the University of Missouri (MU) Sinclair School of Nursing is pleased to present the **4th Annual Women's Health Update for Advanced Practice Nurses**, with this year's focus on Chronic Disease Management. It will take place on **June 5 and 6, 2008**, in Columbia, Missouri. Please note this is not a conference strictly for women's health care nurse practitioners but for all practitioners who are engaged in the care of women. The conference costs for both days are **\$198**. *Up to 6.2* contact hours are available for participants who attend all events, submit an evaluation, and have paid conference costs in full. For more information visit the MU website at <http://nursingoutreach.missouri.edu/womenshealth08.htm#genda2>.
- The National Kidney Foundation is sponsoring a free CME/CE (Continuing Medical Education/Continuing Education) webcast on **The Relationship between CKD and CVD: Updates in Target Organ Protection and Cardiovascular Risk Reduction**. The goal of this program is to raise awareness of the relationship between chronic kidney disease (CKD) and cardiovascular disease (CVD). This activity aims to provide clinicians with clinical trial results that illustrate the relationship between CKD and CVD, as well as discuss CKD as an independent, significant CVD risk factor. It will also explore approaches to addressing CKD progression for CV risk reduction, in addition to overall target organ protection. This activity will also encourage clinicians to evaluate their CKD patients for CV risk factors and aggressively treat those risk factors. Emerging trials examining potential approaches will also be described. This Web cast can be accessed online at www.kidney.org/cme. At the conclusion of this activity, participants will complete an evaluation and post-test to receive a certificate of attendance. The estimated time for completion is 2.0 hours.

- The Maryland Partnership for Prevention (MPP) is pleased to announce the **2008-2009 schedule for Coalition University**. Coalition U is a nationally recognized training series designed to provide the unique skill set necessary to create, participate in, and sustain coalitions. Utilizing a multi-disciplinary staff, Coalition University provides interactive instruction on topics that are critical to coalition success, including: • Coalition Infrastructure • Coalition Leadership • Membership Recruitment • Fundraising • Board Development • Advocacy • Media Relations • Program Planning and Evaluation. Coalition University offers three "semesters" of learning that incrementally provide students a comprehensive foundation for coalition building. The training takes place in Maryland, and costs per semester range from **\$85 - \$130**. For registration and information, go to http://www.edcp.org/pdf/Coalition_University_Registration_08-09.pdf.

5. Social Demands On People With Diabetes Can Limit Time For Self-Care

Diabetes is a chronic disease that needs to be treated on a daily basis with a specific treatment regimen. When someone has diabetes, it is a stress in itself. If we as health care providers started looking at some of the issues that are being faced by some patients we would get a clear picture of why the patient can only eat one or two meals per day, cannot test as recommended, is not taking the medications as ordered, is not returning for follow-up visits, and is not having the energy to do all of the above. Read more in the article written by Guadalupe C. Heredia for Endocrine Today at <http://www.endocrinetoday.com/view.aspx?rid=27552>.

6. Diabetes Research News

- Substituting milk proteins for proteins from rapeseed (canola) could delay the onset of insulin resistance and protect against diabetes, suggests a new study with rats. The results, if they can be repeated in humans, indicate the potential importance of dietary protein for insulin control, suggest the authors in the *British Journal of Nutrition*. Read more in the article from NutraIngredients.com at <http://www.nutraingredients.com/news/ng.asp?n=84633-rapeseed-protein-insulin-resistance>.
- Pumpkin pie, sweet potatoes and many other holiday favorites are rich in vitamin A, a nutrient essential for good health. Now a study by Agricultural Research Service (ARS) nutrition scientists has shown, for the first time, that high levels of vitamin A can suppress development of type 1 diabetes in laboratory mice prone to that disease. Scientists already know that vitamin A and antioxidants—such as those in the freeze-dried grape powder also tested in the study—can regulate the immune system. However, apparently no one had shown the suppressive effect of either vitamin A or grape powder on type 1 diabetes in either lab mice or humans, according to ARS physiologist Charles B. Stephensen. Read more in the article posted on Foodconsumer.org at http://foodconsumer.org/7777/8888/General_Health_34/041006332008_Vitamin_A_Suppresses_Type_1_Diabetes_in_Animal_Study.shtml.

- British scientists say they have found no convincing evidence that newer type 2 diabetes drugs called glitazones are any more effective than older drugs. An exhaustive study of the available evidence led Britain's Drug and Therapeutics Bulletin to conclude that glitazones have a place in combined treatments with either metformin or a sulphonylurea for people with type 2 diabetes who are unsuited to one or other of these older drugs. Read more from the United Press International at http://www.upi.com/NewsTrack/Science/2008/04/15/new_diabetes_drugs_not_more_effective/7043/.
- A new study in mice indicates that overeating, rather than the obesity it causes, is the trigger for developing metabolic syndrome, a collection of health risk factors that increases an individual's chances of developing insulin resistance, fatty liver, heart disease and type 2 diabetes. "We're ingrained to think obesity is the cause of all health problems, when in fact it is the spillover of fat into organs other than fat cells that damages these organs, such as the heart and the liver. Depositing fatty molecules in fat cells where they belong actually delays that harmful spillover," says Dr. Roger Unger. Read more in the NewsWise.com article at <http://www.newswise.com/articles/view/539879/>.
- According to a new study from Harvard University's medical school, eating an egg a day does not increase the risk of heart attack or stroke in healthy men. But the findings suggest that if you have diabetes, you may want to swap sunny side up for a whites-only omelette. Read more from Canada's Globe and Mail at <http://www.theglobeandmail.com/servlet/story/LAC.20080416.BECK16/TPStory/specialScienceandHealth/columnists>.
- Diabetic retinopathy, a leading cause of vision loss in the United States, is also a warning sign of heart failure, a new study says. The study followed more than 1,000 middle-aged people with type 2 diabetes for nine years and found that those with retinopathy had more than a 2.5-fold higher risk of developing heart failure than those without retinopathy. The finding was published in the April 22 issue of the Journal of the American College of Cardiology. Read more in the Washington Post (HealthDay News) article at <http://www.washingtonpost.com/wp-dyn/content/article/2008/04/14/AR2008041402110.html>.

7.

Statement of the American Diabetes Association

Supporting Federal Court's Ruling on New York City Proposal

The American Diabetes Association (ADA) applauds today's federal court ruling upholding a New York City regulation that requires chain restaurants to provide the calorie content of foods on their menus and menu boards. This ruling came in response to a challenge to the regulation filed by the New York State Restaurant Association. The ADA appeared as an amicus curiae along with other public interest organizations and professors supporting the regulation. Read more in the Fox Business article posted at http://www.foxbusiness.com/article/statement-american-diabetes-association-supporting-federal-courts-ruling-new_566238_1.html.

8. Colgate-Palmolive Announces Collaboration With American Diabetes Association to Educate Americans About the Association Between Oral Health and Diabetes

Colgate-Palmolive Company has announced a multi-year educational collaboration with the American Diabetes Association (ADA). Colgate will support elements of the American Diabetes Association's new oral care initiatives. Practical and focused information on oral health management for people with diabetes and their physicians will be featured in a new oral care section of the American Diabetes Association's web site. Content will include research updates on the oral health-systemic health association, physician education on oral health as it relates to diabetes, and interactive patient education features. Read more in the press release posted on EarthTimes.org at <http://www.earthtimes.org/articles/show/colgate-palmolive-announces-collaboration-with-american,351210.shtml>.

9. Giving Policy Some Teeth: Routes To Reducing Disparities In Oral Health

Oral health hasn't been given the same "press" in health care policy as general health care has. To read more about this issue, see the article from Health Affairs that follows this Update.

10. Weekly Diabetes Recipe

To enjoy this week's featured recipe for **Tangy Lemon Squares**, visit the American Diabetes Association's website at <http://vgs.diabetes.org/recipe/viewRecipeDisplay.jsp?SizedRecipeId=959&CategoryID=8>.

Giving Policy Some Teeth: Routes To Reducing Disparities In Oral Health

Policymakers must view oral health as essential for the health of the whole body and for quality of life.

by Susan A. Fisher-Owens, Judith C. Barker, Sally Adams, Lisa H. Chung, Stuart A. Gansky, Susan Hyde, and Jane A. Weintraub

ABSTRACT: Despite improvements in oral health status and clear links between oral and systemic health, oral health is not accorded the same importance in health care policy as is general health. This review of oral health disparities over the life span documents the results of this inequity. Dental concerns and unmet dental treatment needs, especially among vulnerable populations, are not well addressed in oral health policies. We offer examples of discrepancies between policy and needs and examples of successful interventions that integrate oral health care with informed policy. [*Health Affairs* 27, no. 2 (2008): 404–412; 10.1377/hlthaff.27.2.404]

DESPITE IMPROVEMENTS IN ORAL HEALTH and publicized links with systemic health, oral health is not accorded similar status to systemic health in health care policy. In 2004, U.S. health spending was about \$963.9 billion, with dental care accounting for 7.5 percent.¹ And although 47 million Americans lack health insurance, 108 million lack dental insurance.²

Oral health not only is integral to systemic health but also affects people's productivity and quality of life.³ The gastrointestinal tract, the entryway for nutrients, begins in the mouth. The mouth and teeth are sources of communication, pleasure, social interaction, and cultural facial and dental aesthetics. The mouth also is an infection or inflammation portal. Oral conditions (particularly periodontal diseases) affect other aspects of health (for example, heart disease, stroke, diabetes, and respiratory disease), and systemic conditions affect oral health (for

Susan Fisher-Owens is an assistant clinical professor of pediatrics at the University of California, San Francisco (UCSF). Also at UCSF, Judith Barker is a professor of anthropology, history, and social medicine; Sally Adams is a research psychologist in pediatrics; Lisa Chung is an assistant clinical professor in the Division of Oral Epidemiology and Dental Public Health; Stuart Gansky is an associate professor and Susan Hyde is an assistant adjunct professor in the same division. Jane Weintraub (Jane.Weintraub@ucsf.edu) is the Lee Hysan Professor and chair, Division of Oral Epidemiology and Dental Public Health, and director, UCSF Center to Address Disparities in Children's Oral Health (CAN DO Center), where all of the authors work.

example, HIV and diabetes).⁴ Oral symptoms and salivary diagnostics can identify systemic problems (such as HIV). Oral and systemic diseases share common risk factors, including poor diet, substance use, poor hygiene, and stress; oral and systemic health disparities are often associated with race/ethnicity, sex, income, education, geographic location, insurance coverage, chronic conditions, age, and health literacy.⁵ U.S. systemic and oral health care financing, policy, and delivery systems are disconnected and unequal, generally treating the mouth as separate from and independent of the body.

Lifetime oral health status is affected by environmental and public health resources, plus biologic, social, cultural, economic, and access issues.⁶ The past century has seen progress in the U.S. physical environment, sanitation, nutrition, and water fluoridation, with resulting oral health improvements.⁷ However, large disparities persist for underserved or vulnerable populations, including racial/ethnic minority groups, people in rural areas and Health Professional Shortage Areas, immigrants, homeless people, elderly people, and people with developmental disabilities and chronic conditions. These groups benefited less from recent prevention and treatment innovations, experiencing greater oral disease burden and inadequate access to dental care.

In this paper we give examples of oral health disparities across the life span.⁸ We also provide context regarding existing health policies, finance, workforce and delivery systems, and cultural beliefs affecting disparities.

Oral Health Disparities Across The Life Span

■ **Pregnancy.** Pregnant women have particularly high gingivitis risk (60–75 percent) but low use of dental care.⁹ Among pregnant women perceiving an oral health need in 1998, Medicaid enrollees were 24–53 percent less likely than their privately insured peers to seek oral health care.¹⁰ This discrepancy is additionally important because mothers can transmit caries-causing bacteria to their offspring.¹¹

■ **Children and adolescents.** Dental care is children's most prevalent unmet health need.¹² The prevalence of caries in early childhood continues to increase despite improvement among other groups, which is troubling given the potentially long-term negative impact of tooth decay on speech, nutrition, and future dentition.¹³ Dental caries affects almost half of second graders and nearly 80 percent of adolescents, affecting some groups disproportionately.¹⁴ Roughly 75 percent of caries experienced by children age six or older occurs in only 33 percent of children, concentrating in black, Mexican American, American Indian/Alaska Native, and low-income groups.¹⁵ Children and adolescents with special health care needs have a particularly high risk of dental caries, yet even higher unmet needs.¹⁶

Preventive dental care, including annual dental visits, is necessary for optimal oral health. During 1999–2004, Mexican American and black children ages 2–11 were 16 percent and 4 percent, respectively, less likely than white non-Hispanic children to have had a prior-year dental visit; for adolescents, 30 percent and 17

“The prevalence of caries among seniors equals that among children yet is more likely to remain untreated.”

.....

percent, respectively, were less likely. Children in low-income families (below 200 percent of the federal poverty level) were 20 percent less likely to have had a prior-year dental visit than those from higher-income families; adolescents were 25 percent less likely.¹⁷

Large disparities also exist in receipt of preventive dental sealants. Rates for black and Mexican American children are 33 percent lower than those for white children; children with family incomes near the poverty level are 50 percent as likely to receive sealants as those with family incomes of more than 200 percent of poverty.¹⁸

■ **Adults.** Adults surveyed in 2003, especially those with chronic conditions, often cited oral health as their top unmet health need.¹⁹ Lower-income, less-educated, and racial/ethnic minority populations have higher prevalence of caries, periodontal diseases, and oral cancer than other adults have. In 1994–2004, 60 percent of adults had prior-year dental visits, with fewer visits from racial/ethnic minorities (43–50 percent), populations below the poverty level (44 percent), and those with less than a high school education (38 percent).²⁰ National Guard reservists also suffer from poor oral health; 25 percent in California have oral health problems severe enough to prevent their deployment.²¹

Dental caries, gingivitis, and periodontal diseases are among the top ten secondary conditions among adults with developmental disabilities, yet in 2001 they were twice as likely as others to have lacked a dentist visit within five years or to have ever had their teeth cleaned.²² Uninsured adults with chronic conditions are doubly disadvantaged, with more lacking a prior-year dental visit (61 percent) than those with insurance (30 percent).²³

■ **Older adults.** The elderly, too, have seen oral health improvements, yet gradients by race/ethnicity, income, and education persist for tooth loss, caries, periodontal diseases, and dental service access. Overall, 27 percent of elderly people are edentulous (toothless), but this figure varies tremendously according to geographic area of residence: 13 percent in California or Hawaii versus 42 percent in Kentucky or West Virginia.²⁴ On average, seniors have nineteen remaining teeth; black seniors average fifteen.²⁵ Tooth loss leads to poorer nutrition and related consequences.²⁶ Untreated caries and periodontal diseases differentially affect older Hispanics (41 percent and 17 percent, respectively) and blacks (37 percent and 24 percent, respectively), compared to whites (16 percent and 9 percent, respectively).²⁷ The prevalence of caries among seniors equals that among children yet is more likely to remain untreated. Dental public health interventions are not available for seniors as they are for children.²⁸

Seniors are less likely to have had a prior-year dental visit (54 percent) than are

younger adults (62 percent); the situation is worse for older blacks and Mexican Americans (38 percent).²⁹ In 1986, even fewer nursing home residents (22 percent) had a prior-year dental visit, even though 70 percent have unacceptable oral hygiene levels.³⁰ Older adults residing in long-term care facilities have greater risk than community residents have for tooth decay and loss, periodontal diseases, soft-tissue lesions, ill-fitting or missing dentures, and poor oral hygiene.³¹

■ **Other influences.** Oral health knowledge and practices differ by ethnicity and culture. Groups vary in beliefs about the usefulness of treating the primary teeth; caries etiologies; the meaning of oral pain, dental discolorations, or loss; home remedies; dental hygiene and preventive efficacy; and trusted dental information sources.³² Current data are insufficient to isolate the interactions of biologic, genetic, and cultural differences with community, environmental, and delivery system processes, particularly regarding disparities.³³

Public Policies: Recommendations And Examples

■ **Public perceptions.** The American public views oral health as a priority. In the latest Research!America poll, 97 percent responded that oral health was somewhat or very important to overall health, yet oral health is a top unmet need for many.³⁴ Despite this, dental concerns are not well addressed in public health policy (evidenced by poor funding for oral health services), leaving multiple opportunities for improving policy in various areas (workforce, disparities, financing, and research), and in different geographic locations and jurisdictions.

■ **Workforce issues.** Lack of diverse and available (including geographically and in terms of Medicaid participation—fewer than 10 percent of all dentists participate at all) dental providers contributes to access issues.³⁵ Dentistry is the least diverse health care profession.³⁶ In the late 1990s, 13 percent of dentists were nonwhite, compared with 22 percent of physicians and 29 percent of the population; dental school enrollees remain relatively homogeneous.³⁷ Dentists from minority populations are more likely than whites to practice in underserved, minority communities.³⁸ Programs such as the Robert Wood Johnson Foundation's (RWJF's) Pipeline, Profession, and Practice program have promoted outreach efforts to increase the diversity of dental school applicants and given dental students experience practicing in underserved areas.³⁹ However, most dentists work in private practice, not less remunerative public service settings. Practitioners could be offered incentives to work in dental professional shortage areas, with low-interest loans and tuition repayment (now offered in only half of the states). The National Health Service Corps (NHSC) could increase its investment in dentists. Alternatively, given high overhead costs, government-sponsored loans could support capital investment into practices in under-served communities.

Infrastructure shortcomings include relatively few board-certified public health dentists nationally; inadequately trained state or local dental directors; and a national infrastructure with splintered responsibilities among many federal

“Nationally, dental services should be included with medical services in community and federally qualified health centers.”

.....

agencies without a lead agency.⁴⁰ Policies should support dental public health residency training and positions. All states should have a dental director who has had dental and public health training. The chief dental officer should be akin to the U.S. surgeon general, with jurisdiction over both federal and nonfederal dental activities.

Although some people debate whether there is an overall shortage of dentists, most acknowledge shortages in underserved areas. This calls for increasing the number and scope of practice of nondentist providers. Dental hygienists' scope of practice could be expanded, especially in screening and prevention, and possibly through either permission to practice without direct dentist supervision or under nondentist (such as physician) supervision. The RWJF has funded a Pennsylvania program to promote expanded-function dental assistants; California has had registered dental hygienists in alternative practice (RDH-APs) since 1998.

No mid-level dental provider extender exists, comparable to a physician assistant (PA) or nurse practitioner (NP). The closest U.S. examples are pediatric dental therapists, serving Alaskan tribal populations in remote villages, under a general dentist's supervision.⁴¹ Provider training could be developed for a public health disparity dental health provider with practice scope for preventive needs in underserved communities or programs serving them, much like the Head Start or Women, Infants, and Children (WIC) programs.

North Carolina's program, Into the Mouths of Babes, increased the workforce providing dental screenings and preventive services to young children by training physicians and other medical personnel and by providing increased Medicaid reimbursement for their dental services. This markedly improved access to preventive dental services for young children.⁴²

■ **Programs addressing inequalities in dental services.** Several states recently introduced programs requiring a dental professional to assess children's oral health prior to school entry. Although these programs increase awareness, not all states require follow-up treatment. Funding for preschool- and school-based dental disease prevention programs should be continued and expanded.

State or federal regulations are needed to continue to reduce tobacco use; prevent craniofacial injuries; promote healthier preschool and school nutrition; and extend scope-of-practice acts to allow trained, lower-cost health providers to deliver noninvasive preventive services in community settings.

Nationally, dental services should be included with medical services in community and federally qualified health centers (FQHCs), to reduce geographic barriers and expand the safety net. Moreover, FQHCs should be able to contract with private dentists to provide services. Eligibility for Medicaid enrollment or renewal

“Water fluoridation, dentistry’s most effective preventive tool, still does not reach 33 percent of Americans.”

now requires applicants to prove citizenship, which could estrange millions who fear harassment; this requirement should be repealed.⁴³ Other populations deserving increased dental care protection include those with low incomes, military members/veterans, and prisoners. The Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) can lead the effort to reduce inequalities as it develops guidelines for community preventive programs by including oral health in the discussion.

Water fluoridation, dentistry’s most effective preventive tool, still does not reach 33 percent of Americans, ranging from less than 2.1 percent of a state’s population to nearly 100 percent.⁴⁴ Now a local decision, this is a health issue that should be federally mandated for communities with public water systems that serve sizable populations.

■ **Financing/reimbursement policy.** Achieving all of these policy changes will require changes in how dental services are financed and delivered. A critical step toward reducing inequities in dental care is to fund public insurance well enough to deliver the standard of care for all people, not just the privately insured.

The State Children’s Health Insurance Program (SCHIP) should be improved in at least three ways. First, dental benefits should be mandated. Second, administrative expense limitations (under which public health efforts fall) should be raised to above 10 percent so that public health prevention programs are not limited. Last, required copayments for restorative dental services should be eliminated.

Dental benefits should also be a uniform part of Medicaid and Medicare. Dental coverage is optional for adults under state Medicaid programs; it must be required. Medicare covers almost no dental expenses, compared to 54.5 percent of medical expenses for those age sixty-five and older; thus, about three-fourths of the elderly’s dental expenses are paid for out of pocket.⁴⁵ Dental coverage is not even universally offered to National Guard soldiers: 40 percent lack dental insurance.⁴⁶

Successful examples of policy-driven improvements in access include Medical, California’s Medicaid program, which reimburses both medical and dental providers for fluoride varnish applications for childhood caries prevention. The Healthy Kids Dental program in Michigan addressed workforce supply restrictions on dental care provision, improving reimbursement and reducing administrative burdens, by changing to a private managed care dental program. Washington State’s Access to Baby and Child Dentistry (ABCD) program has training components for the oral health workforce, improved Medicaid reimbursement, and expanded dental benefits.

Universal medical coverage should include dental coverage. San Francisco’s Healthy Kids and Young Adults program provides dental, vision, and health insur-

ance to children and young adults under age twenty-five who are low income but ineligible for Medicaid or SCHIP, regardless of immigration status. Shy of that, pregnant women's coverage should include preventive and restorative dental care, both for the woman herself and to emphasize from the outset the importance of her child's oral health.

■ **Research.** More funding for prevention research and population-based approaches to oral health care is needed to identify and reduce risk factors, especially among populations experiencing oral health disparities. An expanded research agenda should be supported by the National Institute of Dental and Craniofacial Research (NIDCR), the CDC, and the Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (AHRQ) and should further involve foundations and other nongovernmental organizations in oral health care research and action. Moreover, there should be increased funding for monitoring and surveillance of the nation's oral health and mandated inclusion of oral health questions in national surveys, such as the National Children's Survey (currently without a dental component).

Most oral health research is guided by NIDCR funding priorities, although this generally does not include health policy research or currently much funding for monitoring and surveillance of the nation's oral health. Commendably, it includes centers for research to reduce oral health disparities and initiatives described by the NIDCR's Plan to Eliminate Craniofacial, Oral, and Dental Health Disparities.⁴⁷

LARGE DISPARITIES IN ORAL HEALTH STATUS and use of dental services exist throughout the life span, as this paper highlights, for selected conditions. Because the underlying reasons for these and other health disparities are complex and caused by many factors, oral health must be more fully integrated with other policies to reduce all disparities. Despite the public's perception of a great need for dental care, public policies lag in addressing those needs. Policymakers must view oral health as essential for systemic health and quality of life. We echo the surgeon general's call to transform oral health care.⁴⁸ To improve oral health and reduce disparities, policies must better integrate oral health and health care, and increase access to preventive and treatment services and health promotion activities, while reducing financial and other access barriers.

.....
This work was supported by National Institute of Dental and Craniofacial Research (NIDCR) Grant no. U54 DE14251. The authors gratefully acknowledge the assistance of Francisco Ramos-Gomez in the planning of this paper.

NOTES

1. S.R. Machlin and K. Carper, *National Health Care Expenses in the U.S. Civilian Noninstitutionalized Population, 2004*, Statistical Brief no. 149 (Rockville, Md.: Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality, 2006).
2. U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, *Oral Health in America: A Report of the Surgeon General* (Rockville, Md.: DHHS, 2000), 2; and C. DeNavas-Walt, C.B. Proctor, and J. Smith, *Income, Poverty, and Health Insurance Coverage in the United States*, Current Population Reports, P60-233 (Washington: U.S. Census Bureau, August 2007).

3. DHHS, *Oral Health in America*, 2, 7, 133–152; and M.C. Hollister and J.A. Weintraub, “The Association of Oral Status with Systemic Health, Quality of Life, and Economic Productivity,” *Journal of Dental Education* 57, no. 12 (1993): 901–912.
4. DHHS, *Oral Health in America*, 97–123.
5. A. Sheiham and R.G. Watt, “The Common Risk Factor Approach: A Rational Basis for Promoting Oral Health,” *Community Dentistry and Oral Epidemiology* 28, no. 6 (2000): 399–406.
6. S.A. Fisher-Owens et al., “Influences on Children’s Oral Health: A Conceptual Model,” *Pediatrics* 120, no. 3 (2007): e510–e520.
7. DHHS, *Oral Health in America*, 146; and E. Beltran-Aguilar et al., “Surveillance for Dental Caries, Dental Sealants, Tooth Retention, Edentulism, and Enamel Fluorosis—United States, 1988–1994 and 1999–2002,” *Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report Surveillance Summaries* 54, no. 3 (2005): 1–43.
8. For a comprehensive list, see DHHS, *Oral Health in America*, 74–89.
9. B.J. Steinberg, “Women’s Oral Health Issues,” *Journal of Dental Education* 63, no. 3 (1999): 271–275.
10. M. Gaffield et al., “Oral Health during Pregnancy: An Analysis of Information Collected by the Pregnancy Risk Assessment Monitoring System,” *Journal of the American Dental Association* 132, no. 7 (2001): 1009–1016.
11. Y. Li and P.W. Caufield, “The Fidelity of Initial Acquisition of Mutans Streptococci by Infants from Their Mothers,” *Journal of Dental Research* 74, no. 2 (1995): 681–685.
12. P.W. Newacheck et al., “The Unmet Health Needs of America’s Children,” *Pediatrics* 105, no. 4, Part 2 (2000): 989–997.
13. B. Dye et al., *Trends in Oral Health Status, United States, 1988–1994 and 1999–2004*, Vital and Health Statistics Series II, no. 248 (April 2007), 5.
14. National Center for Health Statistics, National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey III, 1988–1994 [unpublished data, as cited in DHHS, *Healthy People 2010*, 2d ed., with *Understanding and Improving Health and Objectives for Improving Health*, vols. 1 and 2 (Washington: U.S. Government Printing Office, November 2000)].
15. Terminology for race/ethnicity is used as in Macek and all sources cited. M.D. Macek et al., “Is 75 Percent of Dental Caries Really Found in 25 Percent of the Population?” *Journal of Public Health Dentistry* 64, no. 1 (2004): 20–25.
16. P. Szilagyi et al., “Children with Special Health Care Needs Enrolled in the State Children’s Health Insurance Program (SCHIP): Patient Characteristics and Health Care Needs,” *Pediatrics* 112, no. 6, Part 2 (2003): e508.
17. Dye et al., *Trends in Oral Health Status*, 28, 39.
18. *Ibid.*
19. A. Davidoff et al., “Uninsured Americans with Chronic Health Conditions: Key Findings from the National Health Interview Survey,” May 2005, http://www.urban.org/UploadedPDF/411161_uninsured_americans.pdf (accessed 29 August 2007).
20. Dye et al., *Trends in Oral Health Status*, 51, 77.
21. D. Belt, “New Year Means Better Dental Care for California Army National Guard—Nearly 100 Dentists Agree to Deliver Dental Treatment,” January 2005, <http://www.fit2bite.us/articles.html> (accessed 4 December 2007).
22. P. Owens et al., “Vision and Oral Health Needs of Individuals with Intellectual Disability,” *Mental Retardation and Developmental Disabilities Research Reviews* 12, no. 1 (2006): 28–40; and S. Havercamp et al., “Health Disparities among Adults with Developmental Disabilities, Adults with Other Disabilities, and Adults Not Reporting Disability in North Carolina,” *Public Health Reports* 119, no. 4 (2004): 418–426.
23. Davidoff et al., “Uninsured Americans with Chronic Health Conditions.”
24. Dye et al., *Trends in Oral Health Status*, 76; and “Public Health and Aging: Retention of Natural Teeth among Older Adults—United States, 2002,” *Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report* 52, no. 50 (2003): 1226–1229.
25. Dye et al., *Trends in Oral Health Status*, 75.
26. E. Budtz-Jorgensen, J.P. Chung, and P. Mojon, “Successful Aging—The Case for Prosthetic Therapy,” *Journal of Public Health Dentistry* 60, no. 4 (2000): 308–312.
27. Dye et al., *Trends in Oral Health Status*, 70, 89.
28. S.O. Griffin et al., “New Coronal Caries in Older Adults: Implications for Prevention,” *Journal of Dental Education* 84, no. 8 (2005): 715–720.
29. Dye et al., *Trends in Oral Health Status*, 77.

30. DHHS, *Oral Health in America*, 263–264.
31. G. Maupomé et al., “Oral Disorders in Institution-Dwelling Elderly Adults: A Graphic Representation,” *Special Care in Dentistry* 22, no. 5 (2002): 194–200; and A. Ellis, “Geriatric Dentistry in Long-Term-Care Facilities: Current Status and Future Implications,” *Special Care in Dentistry* 19, no. 3 (1999): 139–142.
32. I.V. Hilton et al., “Cultural Factors and Children’s Oral Health Care: A Qualitative Study of Carers of Young Children,” *Community Dentistry and Oral Epidemiology* 35, no. 6 (2007): 1–10.
33. Fisher-Owens et al., “Influences on Children’s Oral Health.”
34. Charlton Research Company, “Americans Speak Out on Oral Health Research: A Public Opinion Study for Research!America,” Fall 2003, <http://www.researchamerica.org/polldata/2003/oralhealth.pdf> (accessed 4 December 2007); Ohio Department of Health, “Oral Health and Access to Dental Care for Ohioans, 2007,” <http://www.odh.ohio.gov/ASSETS/29329FCDD52F4CID85E568FD01607EBF/2007%20Access%20Brief%20Exec%20Summ%20FINAL.pdf> (accessed 4 December 2007); and Long Island Coalition for a National Health Plan, *Neglected and Invisible: Understanding the Unmet Health Needs of the People of Long Island*, August 2002, http://www.communitycatalyst.org/doc_store/publications/understanding_the_healthcare_needs_of_people_on_long_island_aug02.pdf (accessed 4 December 2007).
35. Kaiser Commission on Medicaid and the Uninsured, *Dental Coverage and Care for Low-Income Children: The Role of Medicaid and SCHIP* (Washington: Kaiser Commission, August 2007); Center for California Health Workforce Studies, UCSF Center for the Health Professions, *Distribution of Medicaid Dental Services in California* (San Francisco: UCSF, 2000); and U.S. Department of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics, *Occupational Outlook Handbook, Dentists*, August 2006, <http://www.bls.gov/oco/ocos072.htm> (accessed 20 August 2007).
36. E. Mertz and E. O’Neil, “The Growing Challenge of Providing Oral Health Care Services to All Americans,” *Health Affairs* 21, no. 5 (2002): 65–77.
37. E.A. Mertz and K. Grumbach, “Identifying Communities with Low Dentist Supply in California,” *Journal of Public Health Dentistry* 61, no. 3 (2001): 172–177.
38. R.G. Weaver et al., “U.S. Dental School Applicants and Enrollees: 2003 and 2004,” *Journal of Dental Education* 69, no. 9 (2005): 1064–1072.
39. See the Pipeline, Profession, and Practice home page, <http://www.dentalpipeline.org>.
40. S.L. Tomar, “An Assessment of the Dental Public Health Infrastructure in the United States,” *Journal of Public Health Dentistry* 66, no. 1 (2006): 5–16.
41. D.A. Nash and R.J. Nagel, “Confronting Oral Health Disparities among American Indian/Alaska Native Children: The Pediatric Oral Health Therapist,” *American Journal of Public Health* 95, no. 8 (2005): 1325–1329.
42. R.G. Rozier et al., “Prevention of Early Childhood Caries in North Carolina Medical Practices: Implications for Research and Practice,” *Journal of Dental Education* 67, no. 8 (2003): 876–885.
43. L. Ku, “Revised Medicaid Documentation Requirement Jeopardizes Coverage for One to Two Million Citizens,” 13 July 2006, <http://www.cbpp.org/7-13-06health2.pdf> (accessed 12 November 2007).
44. DHHS, *Oral Health in America*, 2, 162.
45. T. Ezzati-Rice et al., *Health Care Expenses in the United States, 2000*, MEPS Research Findings no. 21 (Rockville, Md.: AHRQ, 2004); and Machlin and Carper, *National Health Care Expenses in the U.S.*
46. Belt, “New Year Means Better Dental Care.”
47. National Institute of Dental and Craniofacial Research, “A Plan to Eliminate Craniofacial, Oral, and Dental Health Disparities,” February 2002, <http://www.nidcr.nih.gov/NR/rdonlyres/54B65018-D3FE-4459-86DD-AAA0AD51C82B/0/hdplan.pdf> (accessed 28 November 2007).
48. DHHS, *Oral Health in America*, 275–281.